

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/851,261	05/08/2001		Koichi Mukasa	SUGIM33.001 AUS	2985
20995	7590	01/29/2003			
		NS OLSON & F	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN FOURTEEN			TRAN, LEN		
IRVINE, CA	IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1725	10
				DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	09/851,261	MUKASA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Len Tran	1725				
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the t	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was particularly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 D	<u> ecember 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	rosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>I</i> Disposition of Claims	ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 2	103 U.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers O) The appointment is chicated to but the Everyiner						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ · · ·	• •				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applicati	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) The translation of the foreign language provides 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 		r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/851,261

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in-
- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 1. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Iwai (US 5,533,146).

Iwai discloses the method of controlling the welding comprising the steps of irradiating plural linear laser beams for parts to be welded, taking images of the welding state by the reflected linear laser beams into a CCD camera, processing the image of the welding state, and controlling a laser welding head based on the processed data of the image (col. 2, lines 25-67 through col. 4, line 21).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/851,261

Art Unit: 1725

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 7, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwai (US '146) and further in view of JP 2000042769.

Iwai discloses the method and apparatus of comprising of semiconductor lasers for emitting laser beams at a predetermined angle toward the target area, a CCD camera to generate image of the target area, an image processor for processing the image of the target area to determine the progress of welding, thereby controlling the position of the welding head (col. 2, lines 25-67 through col. 4, line 21 and figure 1).

However, Iwai fail to disclose a CCD camera with a band pass filter, a condenser, and a processor using CAD data.

JP '769 discloses the method of using a CCD camera with a band pass filter, a condenser for the purpose of which condenses the light emitted from a weld zone as becomes the optical

Art Unit: 1725

axis and the same axle of a laser beam which is irradiated by the work is formed. The purpose of the band pass filter is to change into the voltage signal according to light receiving intensity with the photodiode and amplifier as an optoelectric transducer, and is outputted to the welding state judging processor.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide a condenser and band pass filter as taught by JP '769, in Iwai in order to send signal of the welding state to the processor.

5. Claims 4-6, 8, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwai (US '146) and further in view of JP 2000042769 in view of Anderson et al (US 5,938,446).

Iwai fails to teach using CAD for control welding. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to have CAD being part of the processing unit, since CAD is well known in any data retrieving art, to be used as a data collection software. Therefore, incorporating the CAD data software would have been a desired choice or depending on the allowable expense of the project.

Furthermore, Anderson et al is introduced to show the importance of CAD for read-off off data which is input to a CAD program. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide CAD as taught by Anderson et al, in Iwai and JP '769 in order facilitate the calculation by hand.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 12/10/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument regarding to the YAG laser is ultilized to perform the welding process, and the former semiconductor laser apparatus is ultilized to monitor the welding state is not in commensurate with the scope of the claim, since applicant did not claim a YAG laser.

Therefore, the prior arts of record disclose the claimed invention as claimed.

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1725

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (703)605-1175. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 703-308-3318. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)305-3602 for regular communications and (703)305-3602 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

Len Tran Examiner Art Unit 1725

LT January 24, 2003

A ELVE